IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

| Benjamin George, | |) | | |
|------------------|-------------|---|-------------------|------------------|
| • | |) | Case No. | 20-cv-06911 |
| | Plaintiff, |) | | |
| | , |) | Hon. Judge Blakey | |
| v. | |) | | |
| | |) | Hon. Magist | rate Judge Jantz |
| City of Chicago, | |) | | |
| et al., | |) | | |
| | |) | | |
| | Defendants. |) | JURY TRIA | L DEMANDED |

<u>DEFENDANT JAMES GARDINER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION</u> FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE PLEAD

Defendant James Gardiner ("Defendant" or "Gardiner"), by and through his attorney,
Thomas D. Carroll of Thomas R. Raines Attorney at Law, LLC, hereby moves for an extension
of time to answer or otherwise plead to Plaintiffs' Complaint (the "Complaint"). In support of the
motion, Defendant states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff, Benjamin George ("Plaintiff") filed this action on November 20, 2021.
- 2. Defendant Gardiner was served with process on January 6, 2021. See Dkt. 24.
- 3. Defendant's attorney, the undersigned, filed an appearance on behalf of Gardiner on January 26, 2021.
- 4. Defendant's responsive pleading is currently due on Friday, February 19, 2021, per the Court's order of January 13, 2021. *See* <u>Dkt. 22</u>.
- 5. Since the filing of Defendant's appearance, his co-defendant, Charles Sikanich ("Sikanich"), was served with process on February 4, 2021. *See* Dkt. 27.
- 6. Defendant Sikanich's responsive pleading is due on February 25, 2021.

7. After he was served with process, Sikanich retained Gardiner's undersigned attorney,

Thomas D. Carroll, as counsel for this matter.

8. Given that defendants Gardiner and Sikanich are both privately represented by the

same attorney and are likely to have defenses in common, Defendant Gardiner moves

this Court for an extension of time, until February 25, 2021, to file a responsive

pleading to the Complaint, which will be a single responsive pleading entered on

behalf of both Gardiner and Sikanich.

9. This motion is made in the interests of judicial efficiency, with no intention or

likelihood of causing undue delay.

10. Counsel for defendants Sikanich and Gardiner conferred with counsel for the

Plaintiff, Daniel Massoglia, on February 17, 2021. Mr. Massoglia indicated that

Plaintiff has no objection to this motion for extension of time.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, James Gardiner, respectfully request that the Court grant

this motion for extension of time to answer or otherwise plead to Plaintiff's Complaint until

February 25, 2021, and for any other relief that the Court deems just.

Respectfully Submitted, THOMAS R. RAINES

ATTORNEY AT LAW, LLC

/s/ Thomas D. Carroll

Thomas D. Carroll

Thomas R. Raines Attorney at Law, LLC

20 N. Wacker Dr., Suite 556

Chicago, IL 60606

T: (312) 750-1166

F: (312) 750-1164

tcarroll@traalaw.com

Dated: February 17, 2021

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, an attorney of record for the Defendant, hereby certifies that on February 17, 2021 he served a copy of the Defendant's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Answer or Otherwise Plead on all counsel of record by electronic means via the Northern District of Illinois's Electronic Case Filing (ECF), system, which notifies all counsels and parties of record.

/s/ Thomas D. Carroll
Thomas D. Carroll